UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		Case No. 4:16-cr-00303-D0	GK-1	
v.		ORDER ON MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)		
Michael Davis		(COMPASSIONATE RELI	EASE)	
Upon motion	of the defendant the Direc	etor of the Bureau of Prisons	for a	
reduction in sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), and after considering the applicable				
factors provided in 18	3 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and the applica	able policy statements issued	by the	
Sentencing Commissi	ion,			
IT IS ORDERED that	t the motion is:			
GRANTED				
The defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment of is reduced to				
. If this sentence is less than the amount of time the defendant already served, the sentence				
is reduced to a time se	erved; or			
Time serve	ed.			
If the defendar	nt's sentence is reduced to time se	erved:		
	This order is stayed for up to fou	rteen days, for the verification	on of the	
	defendant's residence and/or esta	ablishment of a release plan,	to make	
	appropriate travel arrangements,	and to ensure the defendant'	s safe	
	release. The defendant shall be r	eleased as soon as a residence	e is verified,	
	a release plan is established, app	ropriate travel arrangements	are made,	

	and it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in		
	ensuring travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are		
	needed to make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the		
	defendant's safe release, the parties shall immediately notify the court and		
	show cause why the stay should be extended; or		
	There being a verified residence and an appropriate release plan in place,		
	this order is stayed for up to fourteen days to make appropriate travel		
	arrangements and to ensure the defendant's safe release. The defendant		
	shall be released as soon as appropriate travel arrangements are made and		
	it is safe for the defendant to travel. There shall be no delay in ensuring		
	travel arrangements are made. If more than fourteen days are needed to		
	make appropriate travel arrangements and ensure the defendant's safe		
	release, then the parties shall immediately notify the court and show cause		
	why the stay should be extended.		
The defen	dant must provide the complete address where the defendant will reside		
upon release to the probation office in the district where they will be released because it			
was not included in the motion for sentence reduction.			
Under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), the defendant is ordered to serve a "special term"			
of probation or supervised release of months (not to exceed the unserved			
portion of the	original term of imprisonment).		
The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release apply to			
the "special term" of supervision; or			
The conditions of the "special term" of supervision are as follows:			

Ine defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are unchanged.		
The defendant's previously imposed conditions of supervised release are modified as		
follows:		
DEFERRED pending supplemental briefing and/or a hearing. The court DIRECTS the		
United States Attorney to file a response on or before , along with all Bureau of Prisons		
records (medical, institutional, administrative) relevant to this motion.		
DENIED after complete review of the motion on the merits.		
FACTORS CONSIDERED (Optional)		

Defendant has failed to identify extraordinary and compelling medical reasons for a release. The fact that Defendant is 74 years-old and was previously hospitalized for Covid-19 is not an extraordinary and compelling reason for his release, even in conjunction with having a pinched nerve in his neck, a muscle strain, swollen extremedies, chronic back problems and an inability to lift his hands above his head.

Additionally, the Court has considered the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (g) and has determined that Defendant remains a danger to the community. Defendant was convicted of Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess with Intent to Distribute a Quantity of Heroin. Further, Defendant has an extensive criminal history including felony assault (age 23), conspiracy to commit robbery (age 34), and conspiracy to distribute cocaine and intent to distribute cocaine (age 42). Though Defendant argues that he will be a low risk for recividism, given Defendant's criminal history, the Court cannot conclude the same.

DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE	because the defendant has not exhausted all
administrative remedies as required in 18 U.S.	C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), nor have 30 days lapsed since
receipt of the defendant's request by the warde	n of the defendant's facility.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated:	
November 10, 2020	/s/ Greg Kays UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE